

Getting the shot: The effect of camera use on the probability of southern sea otter (*Enhydra lutris nereis*) disturbance in Central California, USA

Samantha N. M. Hamilton¹, Gena B. Bentall¹, Heather E. Barrett¹

¹Sea Otter Savvy, Moss Landing, CA 95039



1 Introduction

The popularity of wildlife photography has increased in recent years, intensifying pressure on charismatic species (Fennell & Panah, 2020). Previous research has shown that photos taken at close distances can elevate stress, cause behavioral changes, and even lead to habituation to humans (Hanisch, 2019).

As the **distance between a sea otter and disturbance source decreases, the probability of a sea otter being active increases**. Activity is associated with an energetic cost.




Figure 1. Human-otter proximity influences a disturbance's magnitude (Barrett, 2019).

Objective

To determine if **camera use** by marine recreationists affects the distance they maintain from southern sea otters at five Central California sites.

2 Methods

Between February 2021 and September 2024, nearshore community science volunteers used scan sampling methods to collect observational data for a total of **551 scan sessions**.





Figure 2. Map of Central California study sites.

3 Results


Camera Presence

Camera users (n=1325) are **10.1 m closer** to sea otters on average than non-camera users (n=5673).




Camera Type

Smartphone users (n=894) are **4.2 m closer** to sea otters on average than DSLR users (n=402).




Stimulus Type

Ecotours (n=26) generally maintain safe distances when using cameras. Those on shore (n=862) and large (n=3), medium (n=11), and small (n=415) crafts are **closer to sea otters**.



Study Sites


Camera users at PSL (n=64) have the **shortest human-otter distance** compared to camera users at WILD (n=333), MBTP (n=303), CARO (n=95), and MBCT (n=524).



4 Conclusion



Camera use, particularly **smartphones**, decreases human-otter distance and increases the probability of sea otter disturbance.



Camera use by certain crafts (**kayaks, paddleboards**) and at certain locations (**PSL**) are more likely to cause sea otter disturbance than others.

RESPECT THE NAP!

Humans should keep a distance of **at least 20 m** between them and a sea otter.

5 Next Steps

Community Outreach

Elevate awareness of sea otters by sharing information about the species' natural history and human-caused disturbance at local events and in classrooms.

Partnerships

Expand the Community Active Wildlife Stewards (CAWS) program to include more businesses that adhere to responsible wildlife viewing guidelines.




Figure 3. CAWS logo.

References

- (1) Barrett, H. E. (2019). *The energetic cost of anthropogenic disturbance on the southern sea otter (Enhydra lutris nereis)* [Master's thesis, SJSU].
- (2) Fennell, D. A., & Panah, H. Y. (2020). Tourism and wildlife photography codes of ethics: Developing a clearer picture. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 85, 103023.
- (3) Hanisch, E. (2019). Cameras for conservation: Wildlife photography and emotional engagement with biodiversity and nature. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 24, 267-284.

Acknowledgements

We are in gratitude to Sea Otter Savvy volunteers who dedicated numerous hours to collect data for this study. Thank you to our funders:



Contact Information

Sea Otter Savvy: <https://www.seaottersavvy.org/>

Samantha Hamilton: samantha.nm.hamilton@gmail.com

